

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,759	09/19/2005	Ignacio Blanco Blanco	034284-003	6945
	7590 03/16/2007 INGERSOLL & ROONE	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			KOSSON, ROSANNE	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/549,759	BLANCO, IGNACIO BL	BLANCO, IGNACIO BLANCO		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Rosanne Kosson	1652			

·	Rosanne Kosson	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED on February 28, 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THE	IIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered h	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		i be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see below. 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

The response filed on February 28, 2007 does not overcome the outstanding rejection, because the information that was requested has not been provided, and Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. No amendments to the claims have been filed. Thus, claims 6-16 remain rejected.

In reply to Applicant's arguments, it does not matter that the names of research subjects who have genetic AAT deficiencies are not provided to the AIR (to make them searchable), because these names do not appear in the article by Blanco et al., and the subjects' identities have no bearing on patentability. As previously discussed, the AIR excerpt discloses that it receives detailed clinical information and that its purpose is the sharing of research information, as it is a combined, multinational research organization, and the facilitation of pharmaceutical clinical trials for treating diseases caused by AAT deficiency. Thus, the detailed clinical information includes at least the disease caused by AAT deficiency in the particular subjects whose records are sent and the drug or drugs that have been tried to treat this disease, fibromyalgia and exogenous AAT, respectively, in the case of Blanco et al. Blanco et al. report their treatment protocols for the two sisters and the results from 1992-2003 and note that their subjects are listed with the AIR. Thus, Blanco et al. certainly imply that their published data were forwarded to the AIR during the period from sometime in the 1990's to 2003. For this reason, Applicant has been asked to supply the information described in the previous Office actions, so that the Office can determine whether or not public use has occurred that would be a bar to patentability.

Applicant notes that his studies were carried out in Spain, not in the U.S. But, as previously discussed, the rejection is that Applicant reported his studies to an international organization of which the U.S. is a member prior to the instant invention.

In view of the foregoing, the rejection of record is maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosanne Kosson whose telephone number is 571-272-2923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-6:00, alternate Mondays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosanne KossonExaminer, Art Unit 1652

Rosame Kosem

rk/2007-03-08

Jon Weber

Apervisory Patent Examiner